Semi-Autonomic AI LF-NMR Sensor for **Industrial** Profiling of Edible Oil Oxidation Status

Prof. Zeev Wiesman

Phyto-Lipid Biotech Lab (PLB Department of Biotech. Eng. Ben Gurion University of the Negev Zeev.Wiesman@gmail.com



Semi-Autonomic AI LF-NMR Sensor for Industrial Prediction of Edible Oil Oxidation Status

Abstract

Tatiana Osheter, Salvatore Campisi Pinto, Cristian Randieri, Andrea Perrotta, Charles Linder & Zeev Weisman*

The evaluation of an oil's oxidation status during industrial production is highly important with respect to monitoring the oil's purity and nutritional value, during production, transportation, storage, and cooking. The oil and food industry is seeking a real-time non-destructive, rapid, robust, and low-cost sensor for nutritional oil's material characterization.

Towards this goal, a ¹H LF-NMR relaxation sensor application based on chemical and structural profiling of non-oxidized and oxidized oils was developed and reported. This study deals with a relatively large-scale oil oxidation database which included crude data of a ¹H LF-NMR relaxation curve, and its reconstruction into T_1 and T_2 spectral fingerprints, self-diffusion coefficients D, and conventional standard chemical test results.

This study used a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) that was trained to classify T₂ relaxation curves into three ordinal classes representing three different oil oxidation levels (non-oxidized, partial oxidation and high level of oxidation). Supervised learning was used on the T₂ signals paired with the ground-truth labels of oxidation values as per conventional chemical lab oxidation tests. The test data results (not used for





Aim:

To Develop a Facile Industrial AI-based Semi-Autonomic NMR Sensor Application to Rapidly Predict Oil-rich Food Products Safety and Quality.

An Efficient Diagnostic Tool to Support Decision Makers in Food Industry

R&D:

Phase I – TD NMR sensorial 2D T1-T2 & D Chemical and Morphological Fingerprinting Pattern

Phase II – TD NMR sensor Application for Determination of Oxidation Composition and Structural Fingerprints Changes

Phase III – AI-based Semi-Autonomic NMR Sensor Application for Profiling of Oil Oxidation Status







INTRODUCTION

- Low field ¹H NMR can generate relaxation times in less than 1 minute, by monitoring relaxation of ¹H magnetic spin after excitation
- With Inverse Laplace Transformation processing, relaxation curves can be transformed into T2 spectra
- T2 in previous studies shows chemical and structural changes during oil oxidation
- Prior knowledge is needed to read these spectra, and processing takes time.
- Goal of our study to develop Al ¹H LF-NMR relaxation sensor for real-time evaluation of edible oil oxidation to fit the requirements of food industry to produce optimal food products without oil oxidation.



200

100

10

. 120ms

10-1

100

6ms

10-2

T2[sec]

10-3



Effect of oils chemical composition and structure on LF-NMR T_2 relaxation curves. Linseed oil, olive oil and castor oil having different profile of unsaturated fatty acid and therefore different of structural organization, show different rate of proton relaxation curve.



Scheme of triacylgycerol oil structure and segmental motion assigned by segmental rigidity mobility tests







*Intelligent TD NMR sensor (principles and practice)

- Chemical and structural signature determination
- TD NMR guide for improved structure and texture
- Generation of big structure and texture signature
 Pattern Recognition (PR) database
- Machine Learning (ML) PR Modeling of plantbased Milk & Meat signature recognition
- Semi-Autonomic TD NMR sensor Decision Support System (DSS) for Safety & Health Value





Relaxation Mechanisms



- After irradiation ceases, not only do the population of the states revert to a Boltzmann distribution, but also the individual nuclear magnetic moments begin to lose their phase coherence and return to a random arrangement around the z axis.
- The return of the equilibrium of the net magnetization is called "relaxation process"
- During relaxation, electromagnetic energy is retransmitted: this RF emission is called the NMR signal.

NMR spectroscopy record this process!!!

There are two types of relaxation process : T1(spin-lattice relaxation) & T2(spin-spin relaxation)



¹H LF-NMR Relaxation Signals Collections & Chem. Composition & Physical Structure



Phase I - TO INIVIR SELISO



Inverse Laplace Transformation Solution for NMR SPARS Data based on PDCO



The 1D and 2D of T1 and T2 relaxation graphics required novel signal data analysis &

intelligent computing approach for solving challenging inverse problems in NMR Data processing

Given: An image with missing pixels



The goal: Estimate the values of the missing pixels by 2D interpolation

The Challenge: <u>Very high ratio of missing pixels</u>, standard near-neighbor interpolation scheme will fail

PDCO - Primal Dual Interior method for Convex Objectives (Saunders, 2001; Berman et al 2013)

$$\min \|Dw\|_2$$

s.t.: $Aw - x = 0$
 $Jx = b$

PDCO based Solution

Where A is wavelets Dictionary
w is the 2D wavelets coeff.
Vector x is the image pixels
Vector J is a row reduced identity matrix
b is the vector of known pixels value
D is a diagonal positive matrix

Reconstruction result



* Consideration of SNR – Signal to Noise Ratio ** Optimization of L1/L2 regularization parameters Using **optimal regularization parameters, PDCO solver** produce more detailed and accurate 1D T1 / T2 & 2D T1-T2 Structural **Fingerprints/Signature** in comparison to other available spectral solution (WinDXP & CONTIN) (confirmed by **simulation of results of real data**)



Demonstration of Segmental Motion TD NMR Sensor Fingerprint/Signature of Linseed Oil using PDCO Solver



18:2

18:3

15

55

Peak	T ₁ [ms]	T ₂ [ms]	Dictionary
1	94	53	Glycerol
2	191	135	Double bands
3	437	344	Aliphatic Chain
4	1003	766	Tail

* Based on Resende et al 2019; JLST

OIL OXIDATION

PUFA PARADOX

- Poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA, includes Ω3), while considered beneficial to cardiovascular and neurological health, is sensitive to oxidation and creates carcinogenic byproducts
- For this reason, PUFA-rich linseed oil is used in our study of monitoring oxidation

TESTING

- 120 hours thermal oxidation induced by heating and air
- Proton T2 relaxation analysis of oil samples in LF-NMR
- Industry standard methods: peroxide value, para-anisidine value, TOTQY and self-diffusion coefficient D bata files



2D T₁-T₂ chemical and morphological **TD NMR sensor** relaxation **FINGERPRINTS/SIGNATURE** of linseed oil before (**A**) and after 120 hours of thermal oxidation at 80°C plus air pumping (**B**). Each peak corresponds to a proton population motion in different segment of the linseed oil.











Anisidine value vs diffusion coefficient (avrg) on different ox times

Correlations of parameters corresponding with oxidation

Correlation between LSO self-diffusion coefficient (proton mobility in LF-NMR sensor) and conventional standard chemical tests (p-anisidine test) induced by thermal oxidation for different time (0, 25, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 hrs). Using these tests, three levels of oxidation were classified: **GREEN – GOOD OIL; YELLOW – MEDIUM OIL; RED – VERY BAD OIL**



Correlation between LSO self-diffusion coefficient and T_2 at 25, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 °C during 168 h. (25 and 40 °C designated as Slow Ox and 60, 80, 100, 120 °C designated as Rapid Ox)



Criteria for dividing oil samples to the following three categories: 'Good', 'Fair' and 'Bad'.



Catergory	NMR coefficient D range (*10-9 m2/s)	Chem. standard PV range (mmol/kg)	Total samples
'Good'	> 0.03	< 20	126
'Fair'	0.02 - 0.03	20 - 50	77
'Bad'	≤ 0.02	≥ 50	187





Fingerprinting / Signature Analysis





Browser Fingerprinting: A Complete Guide





Machine Learning Model Concept



Training and testing system for machine learning: oil samples (1) are analyzed via LF-NMR and conventional lab methods (2) these are combined into a data-frame of inputs and targets (3) for supervised learning via CNN (4); deep convolution neural network (DCNN) output i.e. classification of T₂ signals into oxidation classes (5) are benchmarked against ground truth measurements in order to asses prediction accuracy and to fine-tune the system in a series of recursive cycles until satisfactory accuracy is achieved. Having concluded system fine-tuning and training, the trained CNN is ready for deployment.







Convolution Neural Network (CNN)



System set up, a typical workflow where a drop of oil is scanned with a LF-NMR magnometer; in the next step the CNN uses the **T2 signals as an input** and return the **oil oxidation class as output**.

















<u>Accuracy and Loss function</u> over a typical training session, values are estimated over both training and validation data.





Accuracy and loss functions for 30 different convolutional neural network (CNN) training sessions. (**A**) refers to the validation set; it shows how accuracy and loss evolve over time; typically accuracy increases and loss decreases over epochs. (**B**) shows the final performances on the testing set (a subset of data that was not used for training). Data indicate that both validation and testing performances remain homogeneous over multiple (n = 30) randomly initiated training sessions, indicating that the CNN is properly tuned, the architecture is appropriate for the data, and performances are replicable.







Convolutional neural network test performances by oxidation class.

	Oxidation class							
-	Bad	Fair	Good	Overall				
Number of repetitions (n)	30	30	30	30				
Support (n of samples)	126	77	187	390				
Total number of tests	3780	2310	5610	11,700				
Precision (%) (median, [IQR ¹])	97% [87%, 0.98%]	88% [84%, 90%]	94% [93%, 96%]	93% [87%, 96%]				
Recall (%) (median, [IQR ¹])	98% [96%, 100%]	77% [59%, 83%]	97% [96%, 98%]	96% [83%, 98%]				
F1-score (median, [IQR ¹])	0.96 [0.91, 0.98]	0.81 [0.69, 0.86]	0.96 [0.95, 0.97]	0.95 [0.86, 0.96]				

¹ IQR = Interquartile range.



THE MODEL

Supervised learning to train a CNN for

classifying linseed oil T2 relaxation curves into three classes

that reflect three oil oxidation levels (determined via standard methods) – Good/Medium/Bad







PERFORMANCES METRICS

The precision of identifying Good, Fair and Bad oil is 94%, 88% and 97%. In average, the classification accuracy of our model is **96%**

📕 🛃 📮 🛛 Manage Oi	l_quality-oxidation_level_AI_checker Ver 0.2								36	
File Home Share View Application Tools										\sim
← → → ↑ 🖡 > AI Test Software > Oil_quality-oxidati	on_level_AI_checker Ver 0.2					ٽ ~		Oil_quality-o>	idation_leve	I_AI_checker .
Name	Date modified	Type	S	70						
A Quick access Qil quality/oxidation level AI checker A A Control A Control Contro Control Control Control Co	Dute modified	TYPE					<			
Desktop										
🖶 Downloads	Load sample file vis									
Documents	cour sumple mexis									
Pictures	Evaluate									
📜 ז פיזיקלית ב										
אמר 4 SEI										
📙 Ox LSO 80[5.	⇒. ? .	=			Ā		~
📜 pdco					• repo	ort - d pv av tot	ox.xisx - Excel			^
📜 raw		F	ile Hom	e Insert Pag	ge Lay(Form	iulas Data Rev	iew View TE	AM ♀ Tell m	Sign in	\mathcal{Q}_{+} Share
CneDrive - P		K6		• : >	< 🗸 .	fx very ba	d			¥
This PC			А	В	С	D	Е	F	К	L 🔺
		1			Oi	s oxidation a	t 80dc			
Vetwork			Oxidation		D (10^-9	PV	P-Av	тотох		
		2	time (hr)	Sample	m*m/s)	(mmol/kg)	(mmol/kg)	(mmol/kg)	status	
		3	0	1	0.042	6.01	4.63	16.64	good	
		4	24	1	0.038	48.96	15.23	113.15	fair	
		5	48	1	0.030	125.43	93.18	344.04	fair	
		6	72	1	0.024	123.57	121.85	368.99	very bad	
		2	120	1	0.018	132.89	190.26	422.62	very bad	
		9	120	1	0.015	130,30	130.20	404.22	tery bad	
		10				- 1				-
		4	> S	Sheet1 S	heet2 Sh	eet3 🛛 🕂	÷ •			
4 items 1 item selected 400 MB		Read	dy			Count: 3		□ -	-	+ 100%



- Further improving accuracy (>96%) by further machine training.
- Applying specific modified AI CNN model to other industrial fields and processes ("Petroleum").
- Industrial pilot demonstration.





Acknowledgements

PLBL members:

Prof. Zeev Wiesman
Dr. Janna
Abramovich
Dr. Maysa T Resende
Tatiana Osheter
Shoshana Kravchik
Alexey Osheter
Meir Cohen
Paula Berman
Nitzan Meiri
Natan Ayalon
Rotem Zamir
Eng. Project Students

Collaborators:

Prof. Cristian Randieri – eCampus U Prof. Charles Linder – BGU Prof. Niva Shapira – AAC Dr. Ofer Levi – OPU Dr. Salvador Campisi-Pinto – BGU Dr. Yisrael Parmet –BGU Prof. Michael Saunders – Stanford U Dr. Brian Hills – UK Prof. Robert Glaser – BGU Dr. Luiz Alberto Colnago – Brazil Dr. Dimitri Mogliansk – BGU

Mrs. Sharon Hazan - BGU Dr. Mark Karpasas - BGU

Sponsors:

Ormat Technologies Israeli Ministry of Science and Technology & Space Israeli Ministry of Energy and Water

Israeli Ministry of Environment Protection

Israeli Authority of Innovation

Good Food Institute (GFI)





Effect of oils chemical composition and structure on LF-NMR T₂ relaxation curves. Linseed oil, olive oil and castor oil having different profile of unsaturated fatty acid and therefore different of structural organization, show different rate of proton relaxation curve.



Scheme of triacylgycerol oil structure and segmental motion assigned by segmental rigidity mobility tests.



Chemical and morphological time domain NMR sensor 2D T_1 - T_2 relaxation times of linseed oil before (**A**) and after 120 hours of thermal oxidation at 80°C plus air pumping (**B**). Each peak corresponds to a proton population motion in different segment of the linseed oil.







Training and testing system for machine learning: oil samples (1) are analyzed via LF-NMR and conventional lab methods (2) these are combined into a data-frame of inputs and targets (3) for supervised learning via CNN (4); deep convolution neural network (DCNN) output i.e. classification of T_2 signals into oxidation classes (5) are benchmarked against ground truth measurements in order to asses prediction accuracy and to fine-tune the system in a series of recursive cycles until satisfactory accuracy is achieved. Having concluded system fine-tuning and training, the trained CNN is ready for deployment.











Criteria for dividing oil samples to the following three categories: 'Good', 'Fair' and 'Bad'.

Catergory	D range (*10-9 m2/s)	PV range (mmol/kg)	Total samples
'Good'	> 0.03	< 20	126
'Fair'	0.02 - 0.03	20 - 50	77
'Bad'	≤ 0.02	≥ 50	187



LF NMR T₂ relaxation time of thermal induced linseed oil samples oxidation. Each line color represents from top to bottom T₂ relaxation time (0hr, 12hr, 24hr, 48hr, 96hr, 120hr).







System set up, a typical workflow where a drop of oil is scanned with a LF-NMR machine; in the next step the CNN use the T_2 signal as an input and return the oil oxidation class as output.





📕 🛃 📮 🛛 Manage Oi	l_quality-oxidation_level_AI_checker Ver 0.2								34 <u>1</u> 3	
File Home Share View Application Tools										\sim
← → → ↑ 🖡 > AI Test Software > Oil_quality-oxidati	on_level_AI_checker Ver 0.2					ٽ ~		Oil_quality-o>	idation_leve	I_AI_checker .
Name	Date modified	Type	S	70						
A Quick access Qil quality/oxidation level AI checker A A Control A Control Contro Control Control Control Co	Dute modified	TYPE					<			
Desktop										
🖶 Downloads	Load sample file vis									
Documents	cour sumple mexis									
Pictures	Evaluate									
📜 ז פיזיקלית ב										
אמר 4 SEI										
📙 Ox LSO 80[5.	⇒. ? .	=			Ā		\sim
📜 pdco					• repo	ort - d pv av tot	ox.xisx - Excel			^
📜 raw		F	ile Hom	e Insert Pag	ge Lay(Form	iulas Data Rev	iew View TE	AM ♀ Tell m	Sign in	\mathcal{Q}_{+} Share
CneDrive - P		K6		• : >	< 🗸 .	fx very ba	d			¥
This PC			А	В	С	D	Е	F	К	L 🔺
		1			Oi	s oxidation a	t 80dc			
Vetwork			Oxidation		D (10^-9	PV	P-Av	тотох		
		2	time (hr)	Sample	m*m/s)	(mmol/kg)	(mmol/kg)	(mmol/kg)	status	
		3	0	1	0.042	6.01	4.63	16.64	good	
		4	24	1	0.038	48.96	15.23	113.15	fair	
		5	48	1	0.030	125.43	93.18	344.04	fair	
		6	72	1	0.024	123.57	121.85	368.99	very bad	
		2	120	1	0.018	132.89	190.26	422.62	very bad	
		9	120	1	0.015	130,30	130.20	404.22	tery bad	
		10				- 1				
		4	> S	Sheet1 S	heet2 Sh	eet3 🛛 🕂	÷ •			
4 items 1 item selected 400 MB		Read	dy			Count: 3		□ -	-	+ 100%

Cicer arietinum seeds (Hummus) TD NMR Sensor Structure Signature









AI NMR Sensor for Edible Oil Oxidation





TD NMR signatures for Linseed oil at different oxidation levels resulting from different oxidation treatments for the duration of 0, 24, 48, 72, 120 hr. Different oxidation levels in different colors; each signature results from the algebraic summary of various experimental repetitions. Top panel shows the signatures as normally rendered by the NMR machine; Low panel is a synthesis of all Linseed experiments included in the lage database.



NMR T1-T2 chemical and structural MAPPING OF ANIMAL MEAT AND VEGAN PLANT BASED MEAT SUBSTITUE




Convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture including input layer, convolution layers, pooling layer, output layer, and classification (Conv1D = Conversion 1D).





2022 IBM - AI for Quality Test







Intelligent LF-NMR Sensor in the field of Biodiesel



Chemical structure of representative common FAs and FAMEs





methyl linolenate

linolenic acid

Schematic of Biodiesel Production Path









Demonstration of T₂ Relaxation of FAMEs in LF-NMR



Additional Relevant Background

- ¹H LF-NMR spin-spin (T_2) relaxometry can be applied to differentiate between populations in complex systems.
- Triacylglycerols (TAGs) are the most common biodiesel source. They create FAMEs in a transesterification reaction:



- The analyzed T₂ distribution of TAGs is a bimodal distribution, but there isn't a certainty about the origin of the peaks. 2 hypotheses:
 - inhomogeneous relaxation rates for the protons along the side chains,
 - or
 - inhomogeneous organization of TAGs in the liquid with intermolecular interactions.







- The physical properties of biodiesel are determined by the length of the hydrocarbon chain, the degree of unsaturation, and the effect of molecular packing.
- The liquid structure of FAMEs affects the physicochemical properties of the biodiesel including viscosity, density, fluid dynamics and low temperature operability. These properties are of high importance to the field of biodiesel.







These differences are attributed to a **methyl ester** versus a **carboxylic head group**, which are responsible for the **intermolecular interactions** of one chain with its neighbor.







Table 1 Short- and long-range spacing, d, of OA and MO at 298 K

	d _{OA} [nm]	d _{MO} [nm]
Short spacing (XRD)	0.459	0.460
Long spacing (SAXS)	2.383	2.531
		2.517 ^a

^aLong spacing measured at 263 K.

 d_{OA} and d_{MO} are the short- and long-range spacings of OA and MO, respectively. SAXS: small angle X-ray scattering; XRD: X-ray diffraction.

MO molecules have a **larger fluidity**, because as the **temperature is increased**, MO molecules separate both **longitudinally and transversely** from one another.

Translational motion through ¹H LF-NMR diffusiometry





Both materials exhibit Arrhenius dependence of the form:

 $D = D_0 exp \left(-\Delta E_{app}/RT\right)$

with apparent activation energies, ΔE_{app} , of **27.0** and **19.5** KJ/mol for the OA and MO molecules, respectively.

Table 2 Dynamic viscosity, η, of MO and apparent hydrodynamic radius, r, of MO and OA according to temperature

T [K]	η_{MO} [mPa s]	r _{MO} [nm]	r _{OA} ª [nm
288	6.97	0.339	
293	6.03	0.332	0.315
298	5.27	0.336	
303	4.66	0.339	0.337
308	4.14	0.340	
313	3.71	0.341	0.330
318	3.34		
323	3.03	0.344	0.337
328	2.76		
333	2.52	0.345	0.345
338	2.32		
343	2.15	0.348	0.364
348	1.99		
353	1.85		
358	1.72		

^aCalculated using the dynamic viscosities given in [19]. η_{MO} is the dynamic viscosity of MO; r_{MO} and r_{OA} are the apparent hydrodynamic radii of MO and OA, respectively.

The motion for long rod-like molecules is restricted to **linear molecular movement**; therefore, similar r values for the OA and MO molecules are to be expected. -----

n measurements

were used to calculate r from the Stokes-Einstein formula under a

slip boundary

kT

 $r = \frac{1}{4\Pi\eta D}$

condition.



Rotational (segmental) motion through ¹³C HF-NMR relaxometry



The **correlation time** is the average time it takes for a molecule to progress through **one radian** via random molecular tumbling (**Brownian motion**).



Translational diffusion is probably initiated by the **ends of the molecules**. In the case of OA, dimers of two hydrogen-bonded molecules would move by the flipping of <u>both tails</u> on the dimer. MO molecules, on the other hand, would find available spaces for translational movement by very <u>vigorous rotation of the tail</u>, but also by <u>wagging of the head</u>.

¹H LF-NMR T₂ distributions at different temperatures



The response of the peaks with temperature suggests an **increase in the mobility of different protons along the chain**, or a **change in the molecular organization** towards the higher mobility peak.

^aMeasured at 1.333 kPa.

MO 232.5 [43]

^bThe melting point for the a polymorph is referenced.

253.1 [35]

474.0 [42]



Bearing in mind that the **mobility of the molecules** is the direct outcome of their **morphological structure**, the differences in the molecular arrangement of OA and MO can be proposed by monitoring the differences in T_2 distributions and peak area in response to a gradient of temperatures.

This can be observed from the similarities in T_2 distributions in relation to melting point. In this way, the large change in relative contribution of the peaks for MO suggests a **less dense packing** compared to OA and a **reduction in intermolecular interactions**.

Chain/tail Lenght and Unsaturation effect on FAME Packing

Table 1. Melting points used for the materials. T_m s are within ±2 K from the melting temperatures reported in the literature.

10:0	Common name	Chain ty	vpe	T _m [K]			
L	Methyl caprate	10:0		258ª			
<u>ک</u> کر ک	Methyl laurate	12:0		278 ^b	18:1	18:1 Acid	
S L.	Methyl myristate	14:0		293 ^c	070	оу∼он	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	Methyl palmitate	16:0		303 ^c	\sum	\sum	
5	Methyl stearate	18:0		313 ^c	Ì		
\geq	Methyl arachidate	20:0		318 ^d	Ĺ	Ĺ	
\geq	Methyl palmitoleate	16:1		238ª			
$\left\langle \right\rangle$	Oleic acid	18:1 Aci	d	288ª	19.2	10.1	10.1
\langle	Methyl oleate	18:1		253 ^b	18:3	18:2	18:1 ∬
\langle	Methyl linoleate	18:2		238 ^b	15-9	59	5°
\langle	Methyl linolenate	18:3		228 ^c	5	5	5
				\rangle	\sum_{i}	5)

^a(Knothe & Dunn, 2009) ^b(Knothe, 2005a) ^c(*Handbook of chemistry and physics*2007) ^d(*The lipid handbook*2007)



Results: X-Ray

Short- and long-range spacing of 18 carbons chains and saturated FAMEs.

18 carbons chain at 298 K	Short spacing [nm]	Long spacing [nm]
18:1 Acid	0.459	2.383
18:1	0.460	2.531

Results: ¹H LF-NMR relaxometry



Self-diffusion coefficient, D, versus (A) absolute and (B) specific temperature distances from T_m.



Segmental motion (τ_c^{-1}) of the carbon atoms at different positions of (A) saturated FAMEs (10:0, 16:0 and 18:0) at T_m+15 K and (B) unsaturated FAMEs (18:1, 18:2 and 18:3) at 298 K.

Results: ¹H LF-NMR relaxometry







Combined ¹H LF-NMR T₂ distributions of FAMEs at 313 K.

Conclusions

- Both the peaks assignment for ¹H LF-NMR T₂ distributions of FAMEs and the model for their liquid crystal-like arrangement in the liquid phase were confirmed.
- NMR and especially LF-NMR relaxometry would be an excellent tool for monitoring changes in molecular packing and/or weak interactions of fatty acids and FAMEs.
- This new application is of high prospective to the field of biodiesel, and to other research and applied disciplines with the potential of studying numerous physicochemical- and organizational-based properties, processes and mechanisms of alkyl chains.







T₂ relaxation times of saturated & unsaturated FAMEs at 40°C







T₂ of Bio FAME Mix at 40°C





Increased T2 relaxation times -> Higher Mobility in Magnetic Field Peak A- Less mobile part of FAMEs; Peak B- Mid mobile part; Peak C- Most mobile part/TAIL

Increased T2 relaxation times -> Lower Viscosity - FLUIDITY

Peroxidation – LF-NMR of 18:3 at 30°C









Biodiesel Standards

Properties	ASTM D6751 B100	EN 14214 B100	EN 590 ULSD
Physical Properties		DI00	CLIAD
Kinematic viscosi , at 40°C, mm ² /s	1.9-6.0	3.5-5.0	2-4.5
Cetane nur ver	47 min.	51 min.	51 min.
Oxida tive stability 110°C hr	3 min	б min	20 m/m
Dist dation Temp. (90% vol. recovered). °C	360 max.	-	-
Distillation Temp. (% v/v recovered at 250 °C). °C	-	-	<65 max.
Distillation Temp. (% v/v recovered at 350 °C). °C	-	-	85 min.
Distillation Temp. (95% vol. recovered), °C	-	-	360 max.
Cloud point, °C	Report	Report	Report
Cold filter plugging point, °C	-	Report	Report
Your point , °C	-	Report	-
F sh point, (closed cup), °C	93 min.	101 min.	55 min. 🖊
Density , at 15°C, kg/m ⁵	-	860-900	820-845
Iodine v lue , gI/100g	-	120 max.	-
Linolenic ack content, % mol/mol	-	12 max.	-
Content of FAME with > 4 doub le bonds, % mol/mol	-	1 mer.	-
Lubricity, HFRR at 60°C, µm	-	-	460 max.
Elements related to transesterification reaction			
FAME content, % m/m	-	98.5 min.	7 max.
Methanol content, % mol/mol	0.2 max.	0.2 max.	-
Water and sediment, % vol.	0.05 max.	0.05 max.	0.02 max.
Carbon residue, 100% sample, % m/m	0.05 max.	-	-
Carbon residue, 10% distillation residue, % m/m	-	0.3 max.	0.3 max.
Group I metals (Na+K), ppm	5 max.	5 max .	-
Group II metals (Ca+Mg), ppm	5 max.	5 max.	-
Sulfated ash, % m/m	0.02 max.	0.02 max.	0.01 max.
MAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.8 max.	-
DAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.2 max.	-
TAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.2 max.	-
Free Glycerine, % mol/mol	0.02 max.	0.02 max.	-
Total Glycerine, % mol/mol	0.24 max.	0.25 max.	-
To tal contamination, mg/kg	-	24 max.	24 max.
Carryover elements			
Copper strip corrosion	No. 3 max.	Class 1	Class 1
Sulfur content, ppm	15 max.	10 max.	10 max.
Acid number, mg KOH/g	0.5 max.	0.5 max.	-
Phosphorus content, % m/m	0.001 max.	0.004 max.	-
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, % m/m	-	-	11

The various parameters specified in standards can be divided into oil/petrodiesel physical properties, and process- related properties.

The first category comprises of <u>parameters</u> <u>that largely depend on</u> <u>the FA/FAME</u> <u>composition of the</u> <u>chosen oil</u> or <u>quality of</u> <u>the petrodiesel fuel</u>.

The second category can be <u>controlled by</u> <u>changing the **reaction**</u> <u>conditions</u>.







Oil/FAME Related Physical Properties

FAME	CN ¹	MP ¹ [°C]	KV ¹ (40 °C) [mm ² /s]	OS ¹ [h]	BP ² (1 torr) [°C]	SG ³ (15.5 °C) [kg/m ³]	Lubricity⁴ [µm]
Methyl Palmitate (16:0)	85.9	30	4.38	>24	139	867	360
Methyl Stearate (18:0)	101	39	5.85	>24	155	867	300
Methyl Oleate (18:1)	59.3	-19.5	4.51	2.79	154	878	316
Methyl Linoleate (18:2)	38.2	-35	3.65	0.94	150	890	228
Methyl Linolenate (18:3)	22.7	-52	3.14	0	-	-	184
Methyl Ricinoleate (18:1-OH)	37.38	-5.85	15.29	0.67	177	-	183

CN, Cetane Number; MP, Melting Point; KV, Kinematic Viscosity; OS, Oxidative Stability; BP, Boiling Point; SG, Specific Gravity

¹Knothe, 2008 ²Husain et al., 1993 ³Clements, 1996 ⁴Knothe and Steidly, 2005

FAME Composition (wt %) of Canola (CME), Palm (PME), and Soybean (SME)

|--|

	CME	PME	SME
C12:0		0.3	
C14:0		1.1	
C16:0	4.6	41.9	10.5
C18:0	2.1	4.6	4.1
C20:0	0.7	0.3	
C22:0	0.3		
C16:1	0.2	0.2	
C18:1	64.3	41.2	24.1
C18:2	20.2	10.3	53.6
C18:3	7.6	0.1	7.7
\sum SFAME ^a	7.7	48.2	14.6
$\Sigma \text{ UFAME}^{b}$	92.3	51.8	85.4

Fuel Properties of CME, PME, and SME and Comparison with ASTM D6751&EN 14214

	ASTM D6751	EN 14214	CME	PME	SME
CN	47 min	51 min	48-56	62^{b}	48-56
$\Delta H (\text{kJ kg}^{-1})$			37300-39870	37400-38320 ^c	39720-40080
CP (°C)	report		0 ± 1	17 ± 1	1 ± 1
PP (°C)			-9 ± 1	15 ± 1	0 ± 1
CFPP (°C)		variabled	-7 ± 1	12 ± 1	-4 ± 1
OSI (h)	3 min	6 min	6.4 ± 0.1	10.3 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1
$v ({\rm mm^2 s^{-1}})$	1.9 - 6.0	3.5 - 5.0	4.42 ± 0.23	4.58 ± 0.01	4.12 ± 0.01
lub (µm)			169 ± 1	126 ± 1	136 ± 3
AV (mg of KOH g ⁻¹)	0.50 max	0.50 max	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01
IV		120 max	110	54	134

Moser B.R., 2008. Influence of blending canola, palm, soybean, and sunflower oil FAME on fuel properties of biodiesel. Energ. Fuel 22: 4301–4306.

Transesterification process related Properties

|--|

Properties	ASTM D6751 B100	EN 14214 B100
Properties related to transesterification reaction		
FAME content, % m/m	-	98.5 min.
Methanol content, % mol/mol	0.2 max.	0.2 max.
Water and sediment, % vol.	0.05 max.	0.05 max.
Group I metals (Na+K), ppm	5 max.	5 max.
Group II metals (Ca+Mg), ppm	5 max.	5 max.
Sulfated ash, % m/m	0.02 max.	0.02 max.
MAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.8 max.
DAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.2 max.
TAG content, % mol/mol	-	0.2 max.
Free Glycerine, % mol/mol	0.02 max.	0.02 max.
Total Glycerine, % mol/mol	0.24 max.	0.25 max.
Total contamination, mg/kg	-	24 max.





•Total Glycerine = Free Glycerine+ 0.255MAG + 0.146DAG +0.103TAG

Both standards require the use of traditional Gas Chromatograph (GC) technique.

GC requires the completion of the reaction followed by tedious purification and preparation protocols.

LF-NMR Monitoring of TE

- **LR-NMR advantages**: Non-destructive; rapid; accurate; reliable and low cost detection.
- * Low resolution is limited to distinguish between species but is sufficient to differentiate between classes of components.



Diesel Engine (Compression Ignition)

fuel injector



Combustion & Emission -Parameters in Focus:

Flow Liquid FAME viscosity **Pase transition** Liquid FAME Distillation/Vaporisation **NOx** Oxygen Content/Stability

FAME Self Organization – Packaging

Analytical Study Tools: Spectrosopy (NMR; FTIR; Raman) XRD Microscopy (SEM; TEM)

Hot-Flame Region: NOx & Soot

Flow - Viscosity





POLYUNSATURATED

Stearic Acid



